

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/288,326	04/08/1999		GEORGE SACHS	17282	7348
7	7590	05/21/2002			
ALLERGAN INC				EXAMINER	
2525 DUPONT DRIVE IRVINE, CA 92612				NOLAN, PA	ATRICK J
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1644	0 1
				DATE MAILED: 05/21/2002	×1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.

Applicant(s)

09/288,326

Sachs et al.

Examiner

Patrick J. Nolan

Art Unit 1644



The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (	application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	45 2002
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Feb</u>	
	is action is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowatelessed in accordance with the practice under the second seco	ance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	is/are pending in the application.
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-24</u>	
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5)  Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) 🗓 Claim(s) <u>1-24</u>	is/are rejected.
6) XI Claim(s) 1-24	is/are objected to.
7) L Claim(s)	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
	410 000,000 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.
10) The drawing(s) filed on	is/are a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection t	to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examin
If approved, corrected drawings are required in	
12) $\square$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for for	reign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) $\square$ All b) $\square$ Some* c) $\square$ None of:	
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ints have been received in Application No
application from the internation  *See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the certified copies not received.
14)☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for de	omestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
The translation of the foreign language of	ovisional application has been received.
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for de	omestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.
Attachment(s)	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:

Serial Number: 09/288,326

Art Unit: 1644

## Part III DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-24 are pending.

2 The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

There is insufficient written description to show that Applicant was in possession of any "binding element" which will be able to bind specifically to a CCK-A or CCK-B receptor other than SEQ ID NOS 2-6. The term binding element would include an essentially unlimited number of undefined compounds. One of skill in the art would therefore conclude that the specification fails to disclose a representative number of species to describe the claimed genus. See *Eli Lilly*, 119 F.3d 1559, 43 USPQ2d 1398.

Applicant is directed to the Written description guidelines from the Federal Register Vol. 66, No. 4, Friday January 5, 2001

For each claim drawn to a genus:

The written description requirement for a claimed genus may be satisfied through sufficient description of a representative number of species by actual reduction to practice, reduction to drawings, or by disclosure of relevant, identifying characteristics, i.e., structure or other physical and/or chemical properties, by functional characteristics coupled with a known or disclosed correlation between function and structure, or by a combination of such identifying characteristics, sufficient to show the applicant was in possession of the claimed genus. A 'representative number of species' means that the species which are adequately described are representative of the entire genus. Thus, when there is substantial variation within the genus, one must describe a

Serial Number: 09/288,326

Art Unit: 1644

sufficient variety of species to reflect the variation within the genus. On the other hand, there may be situations where one species adequately supports a genus. What constitutes a 'representative number'' is an inverse function of the skill and knowledge in the art. Satisfactory disclosure of a 'representative number' depends on whether one of skill in the art would recognize that the applicant was in possession of the necessary common attributes or features of the elements possessed by the members of the genus in view of the species disclosed. For inventions in an unpredictable art, adequate written description of a genus which embraces widely variant species cannot be achieved by disclosing only one species within the genus. Description of a representative number of species does not require the description to be of such specificity that it would provide individual support for each species that the genus embraces. If a representative number of adequately described species are not disclosed for a genus, the claim to that genus must be rejected as lacking adequate written description under 35 USC 112 1st paragraph.

- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrick Nolan whose telephone number is (703) 305-1987. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 am to 4:30 pm.
- 5. If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan, can be reached at (703) 305-3973. The FAX number for our group, 1644, is (703) 305-7939. Any inquiry of a general nature relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist, whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Patrick J. Nolan, Ph.D.

Primary Examiner, Group 1640

May 20, 2002